

=====
<<UFONET I>> * 416-237-1204 * PC-Pursuitable * File Requestable * HST
* 24 Hour Operation * Gysop - Tom Mickus * Toronto * FREE
=====

MJ12EURO.TXT - "MJ-12 - THE EUROPEAN CONNECTIONS"

- by Henry Azadehdel, Ph.D. 'Yerevan' 24 Prestwood Dr.
Aspley Park, Nottingham
NG8 3LY, ENGLAND
Telephone: 0602-292107

=====
NOTE: Spelling errors below have not been corrected. The file you
see is how I have received it.

- Tom Mickus 11/2/89
=====

MJ-12 - THE EUROPEAN CONNECTIONS

Initially the MJ12 papers were sent to Mr. Shandera in an envelope, post marked Albuquerque, as undeveloped 35mm film. Later on Moore published them. Their publication caused a great deal of surprise mixed with doubts and anxiety in UFO circles worldwide. Some admitted them without any questions to be authentic, some did not. There was some preliminary work done with regards to their authenticity and the saga still continues today. What is important in this event is that Mr. Moore's ex-colleague or colleague, Stanton Friedman is commissioned to carry out further research on these documents. The funds are provided to him by the Fund For UFO Research and MUFON, so I am told. The role of the funding organizations in itself is raising some questions. One might ask why? For example, at the time when Moore was busy discrediting Paul Bennewitz for obvious reasons, Bruce Maccabee was following similar track, suggesting indirectly, thru his own so called independent inquiry, more or less what Moore was implying. This is quite obvious in Maccabee's correspondence with MacCampell. (Some copies of these correspondence are in my possession).

Bill Cooper and few others had openly expressed their suspicion about Mr. Moore's conducts. Cooper's invitation from the last MUFON symposium was withdrawn and Moore allowed in. I would be surprised if anything but a smoke screen would come out from this so called investigation into the authenticity and origin of MJ12 papers from this current research. This is yet another close-shop approach by two American UFO groups who work very closely with each other and hardly ever allow any other even volunteer organization to participate in their operations. This I can say with absolute certainty and reason. At the time when the Gulf Breeze case surfaced and again these two organizations were involved to the degree of monopolization of the case, CUFOS's effort was responded with utmost venom. My own inquiry at that time as one of their own consultants (MUFON) to have some of these photographs analysed was severely rejected by Walt Andrus. And even today I am not aware of anybody else who managed to study those Gulf Breeze photographs but Bruce Maccabee from FUFOR, who was recently awarded I think 5000 US Dollars by MUFON. I might be carrying some poison in my pen but please do not forget what is mentioned here is actually happened and is not a hypothecy. It is

like foxes mediating the wolf for devouring the lamb.

But, even more important is the European connection of this entire bizarre affair. What I am about to say is what I am told in person by the person concerned, and the confirmation is also documented on video tape in another occasion in relation to this topic. Mr. Tim Good has said to me personally and in a recent UFO conference, held by the Yorkshire UFO Society in September this year (1989), where he was a guest speaker that, he received his MJ12 papers from a CIA source independently in US. He went on saying that he is pleased to say that he was the first person to publish them. When I questioned him personally in another occasion prior to this conference few months ago, he said that his source is still an active CIA member, middle aged. Was he referring to Mr. Moore then? Did he know THEN, that Mr. Moore is an active agent and yet despite all his claims to reveal the COVER UPS he was actually covering him up? If this is the case why, what interest does he have in all this? Or, he was talking to me about yet another CIA agent? At some stage prior to publishing his MJ12 papers in his book 'Above Top Secret', Mr. Good travelled to USA to see Moore and compare his documents of MJ12 with Moore's. Yet, what is more intriguing is that Good's published papers, whilst taking into consideration his claim to be the first to publish, and Moore's are the same. Moore apparently admitted to have tampered the MJ12 documents for reasons unknown. The next question is, what is Mr. Good's role in all this?

When I put this question to Mr. Good, he replied that, Moore published his papers initially as I did, later on he decided to make some alterations. (Private conversation, the author and Mr. Good, Sep.23, 1989). This I can not accept because once a so called document/s published, the latter altered or shortened version could easily be spotted and compared with the initial publication. There are two important points which would underline my reasoning. One, we should not forget that Mr. Good's 'Above Top Secret' book had a world wide distribution, hence if there were any differences with Moore's version, both parties would have been subjected to inquiries about their anomalies. Two, only recently Moore admitted tampering the documents, and before that no one had noticed any differences. If Mr. Good was aware of this tampering, as a conscientious researcher, why he never mentioned anything about it to UFO community to safeguard the public's interest? Or, was it that he was influenced by Mr. Moore in his facts finding trip? Or, was it a joint decision by both parties to publish the documents as they were published in Mr. Good's book and by Mr. Moore, after, may be they both tampered the papers?

In a written letter to me by CIA, dated 07 MAR 1989, in connection to my inquiry about MJ12, they say "CIA review of a 'Majestic 12', Majic 12' or MJ 12 - there are variations among the requesters, package has been requested by a number of persons worldwide. Only one part of that package as submitted to us was purported to be a CIA document; it was a poorly done photocopied fabrication. We cannot identify any CIA equities in any of the other material, and it is not our function to determine who does have equities therein" it goes on saying "Unfortunately, as you may know, there have been numerous hoaxes perpetrated over the years in the field of UFO investigation; photocopiers and carbon copies make this process relatively simple."

Bearing CIA's reply in mind, if Mr. Good claims that his papers were sent to him by an active CIA agent, then I think, his so called agent has some answering to make, and it is a bad time to divert part of this inquiry towards Mr. Good. Surely, if he knows his source, as he has admitted to me, he should be able to get some questions answered with regards to the

